Public Works (1911) Department.

G.O., No. 107 I.

DATED 5-4-1911.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Repair shop at Perinar, Madura Division

A revised estimate, amounting to Rs. 9,196 inclusive of establishment charges, for the construction of a ———, sanctioned.

Registered as No. 2-I of 1910-12

J.E.O. | D6 | Dr/Dr

[P. W. O. 2,500—30-7-08.]

Indexed

Meg 6/4/11 74/11

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

IRRIGATION.
ACCOUNT.

Recd. 1911. *Enclosures*

Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 107 I., 5th April 1911.

Repair shop at Periyar, Madura Division

A revised estimate, amounting to Rs. 9,196 inclusive of establishment charges, for the construction of a —, sanctioned.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.
Account.

Read—the following papers:—

I

G.O., No. 381 I., dated 19th October 1908.

Abstract.—Sanctioning, with remarks, two estimates, amounting to Rs. 8,893 and Rs. 8,596, respectively, for the construction of sheds for lascars and a repair shop at Periyár, Madura district, and ordering a copy of the Proceedings to be forwarded to the Government of India for information.

II

Letter—from C. Mildred, Esq., a.m.i.c.e., Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.
To—the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
Dated—Trichinopoly, the 13th March 1911.
No.—336-C E.

I have the honour to inform you that in the estimate for the repair shop at Periyár sanctioned in G.O., No. 381 I., dated 19th October 1908, provision has been made for the purchase of a lathe at a cost of Rs. 380. The General Superintendent, Public Works Work Shops, having in his letter No. W/34 M.D.R., dated 7th January 1911, stated that the lathe originally intended and estimated to cost Rs. 380 was otherwise disposed of, a better machine at a cost of Rs. 966 involving an excess of Rs. 586 over the estimate sanctioned in the Government Order quoted above was purchased.

I have therefore the honour to request you to be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government to the revised estimate, amounting to Rs. 8,460, herein enclosed for your approval.

Enclosures.

(i)

Letter—from the General Superintendent, Public Works Work Shops, Madras.
To—the Executive Engineer, Madura Division.
Dated—the 7th January 1911.
No.—W/34-M.D.R.

With reference to your letter No. 2460 M., dated 22nd December 1910, I have the honour to inform you that as mentioned in my letter No. W 3 S.E. VI of 3rd November 1909, the lathe originally intended for Periyár has been disposed of. I have another machine which is better than the one originally offered; the value, however, is Rs. 966. Will you please let me know if you can arrange to get another grant of Rs. 500 to meet the additional cost; the value of the machine sold was only Rs. 400. If this grant is sanctioned, the full amount can be spent this year; otherwise the value of the lathe, *i.e.*, Rs. 400 must lapse.

No. 69 *S.E., dated* 15*th January* 1911.

Copy forwarded to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, for favour of orders as to whether the more costly lathe now for sale may be accepted at the price.

J. M. M. Parker,
Executive Engineer, Madura Division.

(ii)

Estimate Form—Comparative statement of differences.

Description of work.	Original estimate.			Revised estimate.			More.	Less.	Explanation of difference.
	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
			RS.			RS.	RS.		
Proposed repair shop at Periyár.									
Machinery.									
III. Tools and plant (2 Plant and machinery).									
(1) Lathe No. 8	..	..	380	..	..	966	586*	..	* The General Superintendent, Public Works Workshops, in his No. W/34-M.D.R., dated 7th January 1911, stated that lathe originally intended for Periyár was disposed of and a better machine at a cost of Rs. 966 was available. The Superintending Engineer's orders were to get full particulars of the lathe, if it was new and if not how long it was in use and to submit a revised estimate. The lathe is now reported to have been imported in 1904 and has been in use in the workshops since then. It is perfectly sound and as good as a new one—*vide* copy of letter No. W/43-M.D.R., dated 3rd February 1911, from General Superintendent, Public Works Workshops (enclosed). The Superintending Engineer's approval to accept the lathe was received in his Memorandum No. 1012, dated 16th February 1911. This revised estimate was therefore necessary and is forwarded for early sanction.
(2) Other unaffected items of machinery ..	..	..	2,639	..	..	2,639	..	..	
(3) Filling at site	..	..	300	..	..	300	..	..	
(4) Railway freight	..	..	400	..	..	400	..	..	
(5) Cart hire	..	..	450	..	..	450	..	..	
Contingencies	..	..	491	..	..	505	14	..	
Total ..	..	..	4,660	..	..	5,260	600	..	
I Works.									
Constructing shed as per original sanction..	..	..	3,200	..	..	3,200	..	..	
Grand total ..	..	..	7,860	..	..	8,460	600	..	
Deduct for sanctioned amount in G.O., No. 381 I., dated 19th October 1908 and Circle Reg. No. 210 of 1908/1909.	..	..	..	..	..	7,860	..	..	
Net amount requiring sanction	..	..	..	..	..	600	600	..	

J. M. M. Parker,
Executive Engineer, Madura Division.

3rd March 1911.

C. Mildred,
Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.

10th March 1911.

Letter—from the General Superintendent, Public Works Workshops, Madras.
To—the Executive Engineer, Madura Division.
Dated—the 3rd February 1911.
No.—W/43-M.D.R.

With reference to your letter No. 191 M. of the 30th ultimo, I have the honour to inform you that the lathe in question was imported in 1904 and has been in use in our shops since then. It is perfectly sound and as good as a new one and I can only spare it, as I have received a special new one from England.

No. 167-*S.E., dated* 8*th February* 1911.

Copy forwarded to Superintending Engineer, VI Circle. Early orders are requested.

J. M. M. Parker,
Executive Engineer, Madura Division.

Memorandum No. 1012, *dated* 16*th February* 1911.

The lathe valued at Rs. 966 may be accepted for Periyár. Estimate should be revised at once if necessary.

C. Mildred,
Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.

III

Endorsement by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, R.O.C. No. 759/11, dated 29th March 1911.

In the circumstances explained in the Superintending Engineer's letter read above, the revised estimate received therewith is recommended for sanction.

H. E. Clerk,
Ag. Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

To the Joint Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department (Irrigation Branch),
with the Superintending Engineer's letter and enclosures in original.

Order—No. 107 I., dated 5th April 1911.

The revised estimate, amounting to Rs. 8,460 or Rs. *9,196 including the establishment charges, for constructing a repair shop at Periyar, is sanctioned in supersession of the estimate of Rs. 8,596 sanctioned in G.O., No. 381 I., dated 19th October 1908.

	RS.
* Works	3,200
Establishment	736
Tools and Plant	5,260
Total ...	9,196

2. This sanction is registered as No. 2 I. of 1911–12 and will expire on the 31st March 1912

(True Extract.)

H. E. Clerk,
Ag. Joint Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
,, Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, with revised estimate.
,, Accountant-General, Madras.

Forwarded to the

(By Order.)

Deputy Registrar.

5/

From the Supg. Engr:, VI Circle, dy 13.3.11, No 336 C.E.

3975

4-8

CURRENT
USED ON
R. C.
REF
R. R.
D. O.

31/4

Endorsement by the Chief Engineer for Irrign
R.O.C No 759/11 dy 29.3.1911:-

In the circumstances explained in the Supg. Engr's letter read above, ~~it is recommended that~~ the revised estimate received therewith is recommended ~~may be~~ for sanction~~ed~~.

(Sd.) 24.3.11
D.E.I.

(Sd.) 29/3/11
E.I.

(Sd.) 24.3.11

24.3.11

To The Joint Secy to Govt. P.W.D. (I) with the S.E's letter & enclos: in original.

1071

Ex 1-4-11 6 pm

E. No. 36.
13,000-27-8-09

CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION.
14 MAR 1911
No..................

CIRCLE—6th

DIVISION—Madura

DISTRICT— Do-

ESTIMATE FORM—Comparative Statement of Differences

To accompany the Revised Estimate

No. of 19 , for* cont'g a repair Shop at Periyar —
Esti Rs 8460.

F. Head (1) Imperial Irrigation.
B. head (2). 49 Dys (open Capital acct)
D head (3). 3 Tools & plants
Subhead (5). 2 Plant & machinery

Unremunerative work

* Here enter the Name and Description of work in full.

60

E.G
P.W.C. 3975/11

ESTIMATE FORM -Comparative Statement of Differences

Description of Work.	Original Estimate. Quantity.	Rate.	Amount	Revised Estimate. Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	More.	Less.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs.	Rs.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Proposed Repair shop at Periyar:								
Machinery — III Tools & plant (2 Plant & machinery)								
(1) Lathe no. —	–	–	380	–	–	966	586+	..
(2) Other unaffected items of machinery	–	–	2639	–	–	2639	–	–
(3) Fitting at site	–	–	300	–	–	300	–	–
(4) Railway freight	–	–	400	–	–	400	–	–
(5) Cart hire	–	–	450	–	–	450	–	–
Contingencies	–	–	491	–	–	505	14	–
Total	–	–	4660	–	–	5260	600	..
I works								
Constructing Shed as per origl Sanction —			3200	–	–	3200	–	–
Grand Total —			7860	–	–	8460	600	..
Deduct for Sanctioned amount in G.O. no 381 I 19-8-08 & Circle Reg no 210 08/09						7860	..	
Net amount requiring Sanction						600	600	

Explanation of Difference. (10)

+ The general Supt R.W. workshops in his no W/34 MDR 877-1-11, stated that lathe originally intended for Periyar was disposed of & a better machine at a cost of Rs 966 was available. The SE's ~~who was~~ orders were ~~obtained~~ to get full particulars of the lathe, if it was new & if not how long it was in use & to submit a revised estimate. The lathe is now reported to have been imported in 1904 & has been in use in the workshops since then. It is perfectly sound & as good as a new one. Vide copy of letter no W/43 MDR 873-2-11 from Genl Supt R.W. workshops (enclosed). The SE's appl to accept the lathe was received in his memo no 1012 16-2-11. This revised estimate was therefore necessary & is forwarded for early sanction.

[signature]
Supg Engr
VI Circle

[initials]
10/3/11

Station.
Dated 19 .

[initials] 2.3.11

[signature] 3.3.11
Executive Engineer.
Madura Dn

SUBJECT.—Revd Estimate of Rs 9196 for constructing a repair-shop at Periyar.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
IRRIGATION BRANCH.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

flag D

G. O. No. 381-I dy 18.10.08

Print { From the Supg. Engr. VI Circle, dy 13.3.11, No. 336 CE
{ Endorst. by the Chief Engr. for Irrgn. dy 29.3.11, R.O.C. No. 759/11

Print Comparative Statement.

Order No. 1073 I, dy 5.4.11

The revised estimate, amounting to Rs 8460 or Rs 9196 x including the estabt charges, for constructing a repair shop at Periyar, is sanctioned in supersession of the estimate of Rs 8396 sanctioned in G. O. No. 381-I dy 18.10.08.

2. This sanction is registered as No.

x

Works	..	Rs 3200
Estabt		736
IFP		5260
		9196

flag M

flag D

7. E. I.

1073

8.R. 3/4 Exd 4/4

Recd

6

T.P.

No 2. I of 1910 - 12 and will expire on the 31st March 1912.

Issue

Despr. through R.E.

Recd 29/3/11

24.3.11

29/3/11

24.3.1911

24.3.11

To

The Chief Engr. for Irrigr.,

" Sup. Engr., VI Circle, with revd estimate.

" ~~Dy~~ Acctt Genl, Madras

Dsp 12/4/11

ordinary press

Print Comparative Statement

Despatched on 30/5/11

4

1-288

9